

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,164	09/13/2000	Dan Kikinis	007287.00043	7516
25907 7590 047442099 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			EXAMINER	
			USTARIS, JOSEPH G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent und Reexamination		
from Pre-Appeal Brief	09/661,164	S1,164 KIKINIS ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	Christopher Kelley	2424	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 2 March 2009.
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicar rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appea from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt dat able.
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19, 26- Claim(s) withdrawn from con	34, 37 and 38.
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Christopher Kelley.	(3) <u>Joe Ustaris</u> .
(2) Chris Grant.	(4)
/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424	/Chris Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2400

Part of Paper No. 20090422